1	DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.		
2	David Yeremian (SBN 226337) david@yeremianlaw.com		
3	Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152) roman@yeremianlaw.com	Electronically Filed	
4	535 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 705 Glendale, California 91203	11/13/2019 10:12 AM Superior Court of California	
5	Telephone: (818) 230-8380 Facsimile: (818) 230-0308	County of Stanislaus Clerk of the Court	
6	UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP, PC Walter Haines, (SBN: 71075)	By: Christine Zulim, Deputy	
7	walterhaines@yahoo.com 5500 Bolsa Ave., Suite 201		
8	Huntington Beach, California 92649 Telephone: (310) 652-2242		
9	Facsimile: (562) 256-1006		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff DIANE MORALES on behalf of herself and others similarly situate	ed.	
11	on behalf of herself and others similarly situate		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS		
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	DIANE MORALES , on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,	Case No. 2025720	
16	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE	
17	V.	HON. WILLIAM A. MAYHEW, DEPT. 21	
	CENTRAL VALLEY SPECIALTY	CLASS ACTION	
18	HOSPITAL, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	AMENDED [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] FINAL JUDGMENT	
19	Defendants.		
20		Trial Date: None Set Complaint Filed: July 11, 2017	
21			
22	This matter having come before the	Court for hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court	
23	dated November 5, 2019 for approval of the settlement set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class		
24	Settlement and Release ("Stipulation" or "Settlement" or "Agreement"), and due and adequate		
25	notice having been given to Class Membe	ers as required in said Order, and the Court having	

considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed of the promises and good cause appearing therefore, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED

26

27

28

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25 26

27

28

1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including all Class Members.

- 3. Distribution of the Notice directed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement and the other matters set forth therein have been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable efforts, and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. This Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all persons entitled to such Notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.
  - 4. 0 Class Members objected to the Settlement.
  - 5. 0 Class Members opted-out of the Settlement.
- 6. This Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive and costly investigation and research and counsel for the Parties were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value to the Settlement Class of that monetary recovery. The Court finds that the Settlement Class Members are properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.
- 7. As set forth in the Settlement, as of the Final Order, the Released Claims of each and every Class Member who did not timely opt-out are and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties. All Class Members as of the date this Judgment is signed are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting Settled Claims against the Released Parties. Said releases are effective even if a Class Member did not receive the Notice as set forth above, did not AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

15

16

17

18

23 24

22

25 26

9. 27 28

receive a settlement check, and/or did not deposit his/her settlement check prior to the check expiration date.

8. As used in this Order, the term Settled Claims means the claims released pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, including all state law claims that were alleged or that could have been alleged based on the facts of Plaintiff's operative complaint. This includes, but is not limited to all claims for wages, statutory and civil penalties, damages and liquidated damages, interest, injunctive or equitable relief, attorney's fees and costs that were or could have been alleged and whether known or unknown under the laws of California arising out of the allegations of the Complaint or the Second Amended Complaint, during the applicable class period, including, but not limited to: (1) claims for failure to pay any wages including minimum wage and/or overtime compensation for all hours worked; (2) any claims related to alleged meal or rest period violations; (3) any other claims for penalties (including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code sections 201-203, 204, 210, 221, 226, 226.2, 226.7, 510, 512, 558.1, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1185, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, 1199, 2698, 2699 et. seq.), premium pay or liquidated damages of any nature whatsoever, arising out of any conduct, events, or transactions as alleged in the Complaint, including without limitation, interest, attorneys' fees and costs for the time periods described above during the applicable class period; (4) claims related to the accuracy of wage statements; and (5) unfair business practices claims. All releases are from September 19, 2013 through September 12, 2018. The release is as to Central Valley Specialty Hospital, Inc. and its subsidiaries, affiliates, related entities, parents and attorneys and each of their company-sponsored employee benefit plans, and their respective successors and predecessors in interest, all of their respective officers, directors, employees, administrators, fiduciaries, trustees and agents, and each of their past, present and future officers, directors shareholders, employees, agents, principals, heirs, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, attorneys, insurers and reinsurers ("the Released Parties"). Plaintiff and Participating Class Members will release these Released Claims through the end of the Class Period.

The Settlement is not an admission by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties, nor is this Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties. Neither this Judgment, the Settlement, nor any AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT 3.

document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant or any of the Released Parties in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Judgment, the Stipulation, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding the Judgment, Stipulation, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, merger, accord and satisfaction, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims.

- 10. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith.
- 11. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs as follows: \$83,333.00 (attorney's fees) and \$10,004.25 (costs). Class Counsel shall not be entitled to any other award of attorneys' fees or costs in any way connected with this Action. The Court also hereby approves enhancement awards to the Named Plaintiff Diane Morales in the amount of \$5,000.00. The Court also approves the payment of Claims Administration Costs in the amount of \$11,000.00. The Court also approves the payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") in the amount of \$7,500.00.

As set forth in the Stipulation, Settlement checks issued to Participating Class Members will expire one hundred and twenty (120) days from the date they are issued by the Claims Administrator. Any check not cashed within 120 calendar days will be void. Within 30 days after the check stale date the money from the uncashed checks will be reissued and mailed to the Participating Class Members. Any check not cashed within 120 calendar days of the second mailing AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

4.

will be void. Within 30 days of the second check stale date the money from the uncashed checks shall escheat to the Labor Workforce Development Agency unclaimed wage fund, in the name of the Participating Class Member.

- 12. After administration of the Settlement has been completed in accordance with the Settlement and all amounts calculated, and in no event later than 150 days after this Judgment is signed, Class Counsel shall file a report from the Settlement Administrator with this Court certifying compliance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 13. In accordance with the provisions of Code Civ. Proc. §384, the Court orders the parties to submit a joint written report to the Court on or before August 3, 2020 as to the total amount that was actually paid to the class members pursuant to the subject settlement.
- 14. The Court sets a motion to dismiss for August 20, 2020, at 8:30 p.m. in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court, located at 801 10th Street, Modesto, CA 95354.
- 15. Notice of the Court's Order Granting Final Approval and Judgment shall be posted on the Settlement Administrator's website for a period of at least 90 days. (Civ. Code §1781(g); Cal. Rules of Ct., rule 3.771(b).)
- 16. The Court finds that the Settlement is in good faith and constitutes a fair, reasonable and adequate compromise of the Released Claims against Defendant and the Released Parties.

If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, resulting in the return and/or retention of the Gross Settlement Sum to Defendant consistent with the terms of the Settlement, then this Judgment and all orders entered in connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

Dated: Nov. 8, 2019

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Marie Silveira

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES:		
3 4	I am employed in the aforesaid county, State of California; I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 535 N. Brand, Blvd. Suite 705, Glendale CA 91203.		
5 6	On November 6, 2019, I served the foregoing: AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT on Interested Parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:  Ghazaleh Modarresi Ghazaleh.Modarresi@berliner.com Christine H. Long Christine H. Long Christine Long@berliner.com BERLINER COHEN LLP 10 Almaden Boulevard, 11th Floor San Jose, CA 95113  [X] (BY MAIL) I placed such envelope with postage thereon fully paid in the United States mail at Glendale, California. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.  [X] (COURTESY COPY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION) by use of email by scanning the documents and any and all documents and emailing them to email addresses above.  [] (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE VIA CASE ANYWHERE) Based on a court order, I caused the above-entitled document(s) to be served through Case Anywhere at www.caseanywhere.com addressed to all parties appearing on the electronic service list for the above-entitled case. The service transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the Case Anywhere Filing Receipt Page/Confirmation will be filed, deposited, or maintained with the original document(s) in this office.  [] (BY FACSIMILE) On before 5:00 p.m., I transmitted such documents from our facsimile machine number (818) 230-0308 to the person(s) at the facsimile numbers listed on the attached service list. Said transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the transmission report which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine is attached hereto.  [X] (STATE) I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and corr		
7 8 9 10			
11 12 13 14			
15			
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>			
20 21 22			
23			
24	Executed on November 6, 2019, at Glendale, California.		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Natalia Bermudes		
27			
28			